

# DRAFT 3

## Practical Experience and Theories of Legal Interpretation, Implementation and Application of law

Program for the course 8th and 9th December 2020

### Tuesday 8 December

- 10.30-11.15: 'Welcome and introduction to the overall issues of the first day'  
& Presentation of the participating PhD-students and their projects  
*Lecturer: Bettina Lemann Kristiansen, Department of Law, Aarhus BSS, AU*
- 11.15-12.15: 'Interpretation in legal dogmatic research'  
*Lecturer: Marianne Holdgaard, Department of Law, AAU*
- 12.15-13.00 Lunch break
- 13.00-14.00: 'Interpreting EU Law: Means, Methods, and Practices'  
*Lecturer: Graham Butler, Department of Law, Aarhus BSS, AU*
- 14.00-15.00 'Interpretation – by private parties in contracts'  
*Lecturer: Katerina Mitkidis, Department of Law, Aarhus BSS, AU*
- 15.00-15.15 Coffee break
- 15.15-16.15: Finding patterns in case law and facing interpretation issues  
*Lecturer: Natalie Videbæk Munkholm, Department of Law, Aarhus BSS, AU*
- 16.15 -17.30: PhD fellows' workshop on interpretation and plenum.

## Wednesday 9 December

- 9.00-9.15 Welcome back, summing up from the first day and presentation of the overall issues of day two  
*by Bettina Lemann Kristiansen, Department of Law, Aarhus BSS, AU*
- 9.15 -10.15: 'Implementation and application of law - in theory and practice'  
*Lecturer: Bettina Lemann Kristiansen, Department of Law, Aarhus BSS, AU*
- 10.15-11.15 'Judicial responses at the domestic level to the international interpretation of human rights treaties'.  
*Lecturer: Jens Vedsted-Hansen, Department of Law, Aarhus BSS, AU*
- 11.15-12.15 Ph.d. fellows' Workshop on Implementation and Application
- 12.15-13.00: Lunch break
- 13.00-14.00: 'Implementation and Application of law in a normpluralistic context'  
*Lecturer: Lisbet Christoffersen, RUC*
- 14.00-15.00: 'Field Work in Law'  
*Lecturer: Anette Storgaard, Department of Law, Aarhus BSS, AU*
- 15.00 -15.15: Coffee break
- 15.15 -16.30: PhD fellows' workshop on research design and methodology  
*Lecturer: Bettina Lemann Kristiansen, Department of Law, Aarhus BSS, AU*

## Assignments for PhD fellows

It is a condition for the participation in the course that each PhD fellow prepares a paper<sup>1</sup> – deadline 20 November 2020 - in which is described and explained the following:

**I) *Your own research project:*** Please give a short explanation of the legal area, legal problems, theories and methods already decided.

**II) *In reviewing the methods of interpretation:*** a) Which interpretation method(s) do you plan to use? b) Which interpretation method(s) are preferred by the actors and authorities of relevance in your project (the courts at international EU and/or national level; the EU Commission; agencies preparing legislation (e.g. as part of implementation of international or EU law); tribunals, compliance committees; administrative authorities; or...).

Is it a grammatical interpretation combined with other legal arguments? Or teleological interpretation combined with grammatical and historical interpretation, comparative law, context or other legal arguments? Consider among others the following approaches:

- (a) a grammatical interpretation using the literal meaning of the term/words used in the statutory rules (e.g. dictionary definitions, focusing on a strict grammatical construction etc.);
- (b) a historical interpretation using the legislative history to reveal the intent of the legislator – taking into account continuation in the content of law (incl. favoring continuation of judge-made law);
- (c) a systematic interpretation considering the context and the legislative intent of provisions – ensuring coherence and consistency;
- (d) a teleological interpretation where the purpose of the law is considered – involving e.g. the comparative law in the interpretation – e.g. as employed by the European Court of Justice;
- (e) a political interpretation where the current governmental policy is guiding the implementation and interpretation; and/or
- (f) a constitutional interpretation. For example respecting special principles such as: rule-of-law-principles; fundamental rights; principle of non-retroactivity; and principle of non-extraterritorial application; principles of direct effect and supremacy; implied competences; state liability; separation of powers (institutional balance) etc.

**III) *Implementation:*** Are there any aspects of implementation e.g. from international and EU law to national law in your project? Implementation from national law to practise?

**IV) *Application:*** Are there any differences between the interpretations and applications made by the actors/users of the law that your project is focusing on – e.g. depending on their role or the regulatory levels? Or depending on their nationalities in comparative research projects?

**V) *Research design and methodology:*** What are your considerations as to how you can design/describe your analytical and methodological approach to these questions in your PhD thesis?

## Readings:

The following articles are available at the Internet at <https://ejls.eui.eu/issues/judging-judges-winter-2007-volume-1-issue-2/>

---

<sup>1</sup> A total of 6 standard pages max. - A standard page includes 2,400 characters, including spaces

**Ernst-Ulrich Petersmann** “*Do Judges Meet their Constitutional Obligations to Settle Disputes in Conformity with ‘Principles of Justice and International Law?’*” Vol. 1, European Journal of Law Studies

**Benedetto Conforti** “*The Role of the Judge in International Law*”. Vol. 1, European Journal of Law Studies

**Allan Rosas** “*The European Court of Justice in Context: Forms and Patterns of judicial Dialogue*”, Vol. 1, European Journal of Law Studies

**Kaarlo Tuori**: *Rättens nivåer och dimensioner*, Juridiska Fakulteten vid Helsingfors Universitet, 2008, Chap. 4 and 5 pp. 30-71 or **Kaarlo Tuori**: *Critical Legal Positivism*, Ashgate, 2002, chap. 6 and 7 pp. 147-216. The students will receive it by email (depending on what you prefer – Swedish or English)

**Koen Lenaerts and José Antonio Gutiérrez-Fons**. ‘*To Say What the Law of the EU Is: Methods of Interpretation and the European Court of Justice*’, *Columbia Journal of European Law*, Vol. 20, no. 2 (2014): pp. 3–61.